Journal of Emerging Trends and Novel Research
JETNR.ORG | ISSN : 2984-9276
An International Open Access, Peer-reviewed, Refereed Journal

"The Digital Bullwhip Effect: How Digitally Originated Consumer Credit Design Influences Consumer Behaviour and Institutional Financial Risk"

Mankala Pushkar

Student

NSB world business school

Abstract

The rapid growth of digitally originated consumer credit has transformed consumption patterns, particularly in the financing of consumer durable and semi-durable goods through embedded credit mechanisms. While such innovations have improved access and convenience, they have also raised concerns regarding their impact on consumer behaviour and institutional financial risk. This study introduces the concept of the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, which explains how digital credit design features—specifically credit-based price framing and low interface friction—can amplify demand and propagate risk across financial systems.

Adopting a quantitative research design, primary data were collected from 166 users of digital credit platforms in India through a structured questionnaire. The study examines the relationships between digital credit design, consumer perceptions, credit uptake, and institutional risk using reliability and correlation-based analysis.

The findings indicate that credit-based price framing significantly influences perceived affordability, while reduced interface friction accelerates decision-making. These behavioural responses contribute to increased reliance on digital credit, which is associated with higher levels of perceived liquidity stress and asset quality risk. The results also suggest that financial literacy and macroeconomic conditions play moderating roles in shaping credit usage behaviour.

The study highlights the importance of considering digital credit as a behaviourally embedded system rather than merely a financial product. By linking interface design to systemic financial outcomes, the research provides insights for financial institutions, policymakers, and platform designers to promote responsible credit practices and sustainable financial ecosystems.

Keywords:

Digital Credit, Buy Now Pay Later, Credit-Based Price Framing, Consumer Behaviour, Financial Risk, Fintech

1. Introduction

The rapid expansion of digitally originated consumer credit has transformed access to financial products across global markets. Advances in financial technology, embedded finance, and platform-based lending have enabled near-instant credit approvals through digital interfaces, significantly reducing traditional frictions associated with borrowing [1]. Short-term consumer credit instruments such as digital credit cards, instant personal loans, and point-of-sale (POS) embedded credit have become deeply integrated into everyday consumption decisions, reshaping how consumers finance

purchases [2]. While these developments have improved convenience and access to credit, concerns have been raised regarding their implications for borrowing behaviour and financial stability [3].

In practice, a substantial share of digitally originated consumer credit is used for financing consumer durable and semi-durable goods such as electronics, appliances, gadgets, and furniture through point-of-sale embedded credit mechanisms.

A defining characteristic of digital consumer credit platforms is the way prices are presented to users through credit-based framing mechanisms. Behavioural finance research has consistently demonstrated that instalment-based pricing, low upfront payment emphasis, and deferred payment structures influence perceived affordability and consumer decision-making [4]. Consumers tend to focus on periodic payment amounts rather than total transaction cost, a phenomenon linked to mental accounting and payment decoupling [5]. Such framing effects are particularly pronounced in digitally mediated environments where transaction friction is minimal, and credit access is seamlessly embedded within consumption platforms [6].

The amplification of credit-induced demand has implications that extend beyond individual borrowing behaviour. Banking and finance literature has documented that rapid credit expansion, when not aligned with underlying repayment capacity, can contribute to liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration at the institutional level [7]. Financial institutions responding to elevated demand signals may expand credit supply aggressively and increase reliance on short-term funding, thereby heightening exposure to asset–liability mismatches [8]. Over time, these dynamics may result in higher default rates and an accumulation of non-performing assets, posing risks to institutional balance sheets and broader financial stability [9].

Existing research on consumer credit risk has largely focused on borrower characteristics, macroeconomic conditions, and underwriting standards [10]. Separately, behavioural studies have examined how choice architecture and digital nudges influence individual financial decisions [11], while institutional finance research has explored liquidity risk management and credit cycles [12]. However, limited attention has been paid to how digital product design and credit-based price framing interact to shape aggregate demand signals and transmit risk from consumers to financial institutions. This gap is particularly relevant in emerging economies such as India, where rapid fintech adoption, widespread EMI-based pricing, and heterogeneous levels of financial literacy may intensify these demand distortions [13].

To address this gap, the present study introduces the concept of the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, which describes a mechanism through which credit-induced demand inflation originating at the digital interface level propagates through consumer credit systems, resulting in liquidity stress and increased financial risk for lending institutions. While the underlying mechanism is conceptualized as generalizable across digitally mediated consumer credit markets, the empirical context of this study is situated in India, a market where digital credit penetration and platform-based consumption are especially pronounced [14]. By developing a structured conceptual framework linking credit-based price framing, consumer perceptions, and institutional outcomes, this study aims to contribute to a deeper understanding of systemic vulnerabilities in digital consumer finance.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Digital Consumer Credit and Platform-Based Lending

The expansion of digital consumer credit has emerged as a significant development in contemporary financial systems across global markets. Advances in financial technology have enabled lending activities to be increasingly mediated through digital platforms, allowing consumers to access credit through mobile applications, e-commerce platforms, and integrated payment systems. Prior research has documented that fintech-enabled credit models reduce informational and procedural barriers, thereby accelerating credit origination and expanding participation in formal credit markets (Gomber et al., 2017) [12].

Digitally originated consumer credit encompasses a range of products, including digital credit cards, instant personal loans, and point-of-sale (POS) embedded credit instruments. These products are characterized by automated underwriting, real-time credit assessment, and near-instant approval processes. Studies on fintech credit indicate that while such features enhance convenience and speed, they may also weaken traditional screening mechanisms that rely on extensive documentation and manual evaluation (Bazarbash, 2019) [5]. As a result, credit decision-making is increasingly embedded directly within consumption environments.

POS-embedded credit represents a prominent segment within digital consumer lending ecosystems. Credit offerings presented at the checkout stage allow consumers to finance purchases without initiating a separate loan application process. Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL) arrangements constitute one form of POS credit; however, similar mechanisms are observed in card-based EMI facilities and instant checkout loans. Existing literature suggests that POS-embedded credit blurs the distinction between consumption and borrowing by integrating financing options directly into purchase decisions (Claessens et al., 2018) [10].

The platform-based nature of digital consumer credit has also altered the relationship between demand generation and credit supply. Unlike traditional lending models, where credit demand is typically initiated independently of consumption, digital platforms present credit as an integral component of the transaction. Empirical studies have shown that such integration is associated with higher credit uptake rates and increased transaction volumes, particularly in e-commerce and app-based environments (Frost et al., 2019) [11]. These findings indicate that digital interfaces may actively shape the intensity and timing of credit demand rather than merely responding to it.

While the literature recognizes the rapid growth and structural transformation of consumer credit through digital platforms, much of the existing research treats credit demand as an outcome of underlying consumer preferences and macroeconomic conditions. Limited attention has been given to the possibility that digital credit systems may generate demand signals that are amplified or distorted by interface design and embedded financing features. Consequently, the broader systemic implications of platform-mediated credit expansion remain insufficiently explored, particularly in high-growth digital credit markets.

2.2 Credit-Based Price Framing and Consumer Decision-Making

A well-established stream of literature in behavioural economics and consumer finance demonstrates that the way prices and payment obligations are framed plays a crucial role in shaping consumer decision-making. Credit-based price framing, which highlights instalment amounts, deferred payments, or low upfront costs, has been shown to influence how consumers perceive affordability and value. Rather than evaluating the full economic cost of a product, consumers often focus on periodic payment amounts, leading to higher willingness to purchase under credit-based pricing structures compared to lump-sum pricing (Thaler, 1985) [20].

Mental accounting theory provides a foundational explanation for this behaviour by suggesting that individuals categorize financial decisions into separate cognitive accounts. Instalment-based credit structures distribute costs over time, thereby reducing the perceived immediate financial burden associated with consumption. This cognitive separation weakens the psychological linkage between spending and payment, making consumers more receptive to higher levels of consumption (Prelec and Loewenstein, 1998) [16]. Empirical studies have further shown that such payment decoupling increases spending propensity and reduces price sensitivity, particularly when payments are delayed or fragmented (Soman, 2001) [18].

The effects of credit-based price framing are amplified in digital environments characterized by minimal transaction friction. Digital interfaces reduce the effort required to initiate and complete transactions, thereby lowering the salience of repayment obligations at the point of purchase. Prior research has indicated that frictionless payment mechanisms, including digital wallets and embedded credit options, are associated with higher transaction values and increased purchase frequency (Raghubir and Srivastava, 2008) [17]. In such contexts, consumers are more likely to rely on heuristic processing rather than deliberate evaluation of long-term financial consequences.

Choice architecture and digital nudges embedded within credit interfaces further shape consumer borrowing behaviour. Design elements such as default instalment selections, promotional messages emphasizing affordability, and simplified approval processes have been found to influence preferences and accelerate decision-making. Research on nudging and choice architecture suggests that these features can systematically bias consumers toward higher borrowing and consumption levels, even in the absence of changes in underlying preferences or income constraints (Sunstein, 2014) [19].

Although the existing literature provides substantial evidence on the effects of price framing and payment mechanisms at the individual level, most studies focus on micro-level outcomes such as purchase likelihood, spending volume, and transaction size. Limited attention has been paid to the aggregate implications of widespread credit-based price framing in digitally mediated markets. In particular, the extent to which individually rational responses to perceived affordability

may collectively generate distorted demand signals remains underexplored, creating an important gap in understanding the systemic consequences of digital credit design.

2.3 Institutional Liquidity Risk and Non-Performing Assets

The expansion of consumer credit has long been linked to institutional liquidity management and asset quality concerns within banking and financial systems. Traditional banking literature emphasizes that rapid credit growth, when not supported by stable funding sources and prudent risk assessment, can expose financial institutions to liquidity stress and balance sheet vulnerabilities (Allen and Gale, 2007) [3]. Liquidity risk arises when institutions face mismatches between the maturity of assets and liabilities, particularly during periods of heightened credit demand.

Prior studies have shown that aggressive credit expansion often necessitates increased reliance on short-term or wholesale funding markets. Such funding strategies, while enabling rapid balance sheet growth, increase exposure to refinancing risk and funding volatility (Brunnermeier and Oehmke, 2013) [8]. When credit demand is elevated beyond sustainable levels, institutions may experience pressure on cash flows, leading to heightened sensitivity to market shocks and funding disruptions.

The relationship between credit growth and asset quality deterioration has also been well documented. Empirical banking studies indicate that periods of accelerated lending are frequently followed by increases in loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, as underwriting standards weaken and borrower repayment capacity becomes overstretched (Berger and DeYoung, 1997) [6]. These dynamics are particularly pronounced in consumer credit segments characterized by short loan tenures, limited collateral, and high borrower turnover.

In recent years, the rise of digitally originated consumer credit has introduced additional complexities to institutional risk management. Platform-based lending models and automated credit decision systems have been associated with faster credit origination cycles and compressed risk evaluation timelines. Research on fintech credit suggests that while such models enhance operational efficiency, they may also amplify cyclical lending behaviour and contribute to procyclical risk accumulation (Claessens et al., 2018) [10]. As a result, liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration may emerge more rapidly than in traditional lending environments.

Although existing literature provides substantial insights into liquidity risk and non-performing assets at the institutional level, these studies generally treat credit demand as an exogenous input driven by macroeconomic conditions and borrower fundamentals. Limited attention has been paid to the possibility that demand signals themselves may be endogenously shaped by digital credit design and interface-level features. Consequently, the mechanisms through which digitally mediated credit systems transmit demand amplification into institutional liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration remain insufficiently examined, highlighting an important gap in the literature.

3. Research Gap

The existing body of literature provides extensive insights into the growth of digital consumer credit, the behavioural effects of credit-based price framing, and the institutional risks associated with rapid credit expansion. Prior studies have examined digitally originated credit products primarily from the perspectives of financial inclusion, operational efficiency, and borrower-level risk assessment. Separately, behavioural research has established that instalment-based pricing and reduced transaction friction influence consumer perceptions of affordability and purchasing decisions. In addition, banking and finance literature has documented the relationship between aggressive credit growth, liquidity stress, and the accumulation of non-performing assets at the institutional level.

Despite these contributions, the literature remains fragmented across disciplinary boundaries. Studies on digital credit and consumer behaviour largely focus on micro-level outcomes, such as purchase likelihood and spending intensity, without extending the analysis to institutional or system-level consequences. Conversely, research on liquidity risk and asset quality typically treats credit demand as an exogenous factor driven by macroeconomic conditions and borrower fundamentals, with limited consideration of how demand itself may be shaped by digital credit design and interface-level features.

Importantly, limited attention has been paid to the role of credit-based price framing and frictionless digital interfaces in generating amplified or distorted demand signals at scale. The possibility that individually rational consumer responses to perceived affordability may collectively translate into liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration for financial

institutions remains insufficiently explored. This gap is particularly salient in digitally mediated consumer credit environments, where credit access is embedded directly within consumption decisions and approval timelines are significantly compressed.

Furthermore, existing studies rarely integrate behavioural mechanisms and institutional risk outcomes within a single analytical framework. As a result, there is a lack of conceptual models that explain how interface-level credit design choices propagate through consumer behaviour to affect institutional liquidity and financial stability. This limitation constrains the ability of regulators, financial institutions, and platform designers to anticipate and manage systemic risks arising from digital credit ecosystems.

To address these gaps, the present study develops a unified framework that links credit-based price framing, consumer decision-making, and institutional risk outcomes. By conceptualizing this transmission mechanism as the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, the study seeks to extend existing literature by demonstrating how demand amplification originating at the digital interface level can propagate upstream, resulting in liquidity stress and increased financial risk within consumer credit markets.

4. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework of this study is developed to explain how digitally mediated credit design can generate amplified demand signals and transmit financial risk from consumers to financial institutions. The framework integrates insights from digital consumer credit, behavioural decision-making, and institutional risk literature to conceptualize a multi-level transmission mechanism referred to as the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**. This mechanism describes how interface-level credit features influence consumer behaviour and propagate upstream as liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration.

At the consumer level, digitally originated credit products are characterized by low transaction friction, rapid approval processes, and credit-based price framing. These features constitute the primary independent variables in the framework. Credit-based price framing refers to the presentation of product prices in terms of periodic instalments, deferred payments, or minimal upfront costs, while interface friction captures the ease and speed with which credit can be accessed and activated. Together, these design features shape how consumers perceive the affordability of purchases and evaluate consumption decisions.

The framework proposes that the influence of digital credit design on financial outcomes is mediated by two key behavioural mechanisms: perceived affordability and decision velocity. Perceived affordability reflects the extent to which consumers judge a purchase to be financially manageable based on instalment amounts rather than total cost. Decision velocity refers to the speed with which consumers move from consideration to purchase when credit access is frictionless. These mediating variables explain how interface-level features translate into higher credit uptake and increased consumption intensity.

At the institutional level, the dependent variables of interest include liquidity stress and asset quality outcomes. Liquidity stress is reflected in increased funding volatility and reliance on short-term borrowing to meet elevated credit demand. Asset quality outcomes are captured through the risk of loan delinquencies and the accumulation of non-performing assets. The framework posits that when credit-induced demand inflation occurs at scale, financial institutions may misinterpret amplified demand signals as indicators of sustainable growth, leading to balance sheet expansion and heightened exposure to funding and credit risk.

The framework further incorporates moderating variables that condition the strength of the proposed relationships. Consumer financial literacy is expected to moderate the relationship between digital credit design and perceived affordability, such that users with higher financial literacy exhibit weaker responses to credit-based price framing. In addition, macroeconomic conditions, particularly prevailing interest rate environments, are expected to moderate the relationship between aggregated credit demand and institutional risk outcomes. Periods of tighter monetary conditions may intensify the transmission of demand amplification into liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration.

By integrating these elements, the conceptual framework presents a structured explanation of how digitally embedded credit systems can generate systemic risk through demand amplification. The Digital Bullwhip Effect is thus conceptualized as a multi-stage process in which interface-level design choices influence consumer behaviour, aggregate demand signals, and ultimately institutional financial stability. This framework provides the foundation for the

development of research questions, hypotheses, and the selection of appropriate analytical methods in subsequent sections of the study.

5. Research Questions

Based on the identified research gap and the proposed conceptual framework, the present study seeks to examine how digitally embedded credit design influences consumer behaviour and propagates risk to financial institutions. The study is guided by the following research questions:

RQ1: How does credit-based price framing in digitally originated consumer credit influence consumers' perceived affordability of products?

RQ2: To what extent does reduced interface friction and rapid credit approval affect the velocity of consumer credit decision-making?

RQ3: How do perceived affordability and decision velocity mediate the relationship between digital credit design features and aggregate credit uptake?

RQ4: What is the relationship between credit-induced demand inflation and institutional liquidity stress in digitally mediated consumer credit markets?

RQ5: How does amplified credit demand influence asset quality outcomes, particularly the risk of loan delinquencies and non-performing assets, for financial institutions?

RQ6: Does consumer financial literacy moderate the effect of credit-based price framing on perceived affordability and borrowing behaviour?

RQ7: How do macroeconomic conditions, particularly prevailing interest rate environments, moderate the transmission of amplified credit demand into institutional liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration?

Collectively, these research questions aim to capture the multi-level dynamics of the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, linking interface-level credit design, consumer decision-making processes, and institutional financial risk. The questions provide a structured basis for subsequent hypothesis development and methodological design.

6. Hypotheses Development

Based on the conceptual framework and the identified research questions, the following hypotheses are proposed to empirically examine the **Digital Bullwhip Effect** in digitally mediated consumer credit markets. The hypotheses are structured to reflect the sequential transmission of effects from digital credit design features to consumer behaviour and, ultimately, to institutional risk outcomes.

Digital Credit Design and Consumer Perceptions

Digitally originated consumer credit products frequently employ credit-based price framing, such as instalment displays and deferred payment options, which are expected to influence how consumers perceive the affordability of products.

H1: Credit-based price framing in digitally originated consumer credit has a significant positive effect on consumers' perceived affordability of products.

In addition to price framing, reduced interface friction and rapid approval processes are expected to influence how quickly consumers make borrowing and purchasing decisions.

H2: Reduced interface friction in digital credit platforms has a significant positive effect on consumer decision velocity.

Mediating Effects of Consumer Behaviour

The framework proposes that perceived affordability and decision velocity function as mediating mechanisms through which digital credit design influences aggregate credit uptake.

H3: Perceived affordability mediates the relationship between credit-based price framing and aggregate credit uptake.

H4: Decision velocity mediates the relationship between reduced interface friction and aggregate credit uptake.

Together, these mediating mechanisms explain how interface-level credit features translate into elevated levels of consumption and borrowing.

Credit-Induced Demand and Institutional Risk

At the institutional level, amplified credit uptake is expected to influence liquidity conditions and asset quality outcomes.

H5: Aggregate credit uptake has a significant positive effect on institutional liquidity stress.

H6: Aggregate credit uptake has a significant positive effect on the risk of loan delinquencies and non-performing assets.

These hypotheses reflect the proposition that demand signals generated through digital credit interfaces may not always align with underlying repayment capacity, thereby exposing financial institutions to heightened risk.

Moderating Effects

The framework further proposes that the strength of the relationships between digital credit design and consumer behaviour is conditioned by individual and macroeconomic factors.

H7: Consumer financial literacy moderates the relationship between credit-based price framing and perceived affordability, such that the relationship is weaker for consumers with higher financial literacy.

In addition, broader macroeconomic conditions are expected to influence how amplified credit demand translates into institutional risk outcomes.

H8: Macroeconomic conditions, particularly higher interest rate environments, strengthen the relationship between aggregate credit uptake and institutional liquidity stress.

H9: Macroeconomic conditions, particularly higher interest rate environments, strengthen the relationship between aggregate credit uptake and asset quality deterioration.

Collectively, these hypotheses capture the multi-stage transmission mechanism underlying the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, linking digital credit design features, consumer decision-making processes, and institutional financial risk. The proposed hypotheses provide a structured foundation for empirical testing using appropriate analytical techniques based on data availability and variable characteristics.

7. Research Methodology

7.1 Research Design

The present study adopts a **quantitative, explanatory research design** to empirically examine the proposed relationships underlying the Digital Bullwhip Effect in digitally originated consumer credit markets. The study is theory-driven and aims to assess the causal relationships between digital credit design features, consumer behavioural responses, and institutional financial risk outcomes. The research framework incorporates mediating and moderating variables, making it suitable for multivariate statistical analysis.

7.2 Sample and Data Collection

Primary data is collected through a structured questionnaire administered to individuals who have used digitally originated consumer credit products, including digital credit cards, instant personal loans, and point-of-sale embedded credit instruments such as Buy Now, Pay Later (BNPL), **primarily for the purchase of consumer durable or semi-durable products such as electronics, appliances, gadgets, and furniture**.

The target population comprises active users of digital credit platforms in India, reflecting a market characterized by high digital credit penetration and widespread use of credit-based price framing in consumer durable financing.

A **non-probability sampling technique**, specifically purposive sampling, is employed to ensure that respondents have relevant experience with digital credit usage in consumer purchase contexts. Data collection is conducted using online survey distribution channels.

A minimum sample size of **150–200 responses** is considered appropriate to support structural equation modelling and hypothesis testing involving mediation and moderation effects. Incomplete or inconsistent responses are excluded from the final dataset to ensure data quality.

7.3 Measurement of Variables

All constructs in the study are measured using **multi-item scales**, with each construct operationalized through four to five indicators. The measurement items are adapted from prior literature and modified to suit the context of digitally embedded consumer credit.

Responses are recorded using a **five-point Likert scale**, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

The variables are categorized as follows:

- **Independent Variables**: Credit-Based Price Framing (CBPF), Interface Friction (IF).
- **Mediating Variables**: Perceived Affordability (PA), Decision Velocity (DV).
- **Dependent Variables**: Aggregate Credit Uptake (ACU), Institutional Liquidity Stress (ILS), Asset Quality Risk (AQR).
- **Moderating Variables**: Financial Literacy (FL), Interest Rate Environment (IRE).

Each construct is measured using multiple items to ensure reliability and validity, and the questionnaire is designed to capture both behavioural and perceptual dimensions of digital credit usage.

7.4 Data Analysis Technique

The study employs **Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)** to analyse the relationships among variables, given the presence of multiple latent constructs, mediating effects, and moderating relationships.

The analysis follows a **two-step approach**:

Step 1: Measurement Model Evaluation

The measurement model is assessed to examine:

- Internal consistency reliability using Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability.
- Convergent validity using factor loadings and average variance extracted (AVE)
- Discriminant validity using appropriate criteria.

Step 2: Structural Model Evaluation

The structural model is analysed to assess the hypothesized relationships among constructs. Path coefficients, significance levels, and explained variance (R^2) are evaluated to determine the strength and direction of relationships.

Mediation effects are assessed through indirect effect analysis, while moderation effects are examined using interaction terms or multi-group analysis, depending on the nature of the moderating variables.

The analysis is conducted using appropriate statistical software such as **SmartPLS or AMOS**, depending on data distribution and sample size.

7.5 Reliability and Validity

To ensure robustness of the measurement model, reliability and validity are assessed using established statistical criteria. Cronbach's alpha and composite reliability values exceeding recommended thresholds indicate internal consistency. Convergent validity is confirmed through acceptable factor loadings and AVE values, while discriminant validity ensures that constructs are distinct from one another.

7.6 Ethical Considerations

Ethical standards are maintained throughout the research process. Participation in the survey is voluntary, and informed consent is obtained from all respondents. No personally identifiable information is collected, and all responses are kept confidential and used solely for academic purposes.

8. Statistical Analysis and Results

8.1 Data Preparation

The study utilized primary data collected through an online structured questionnaire. A total of 166 responses were obtained from individuals who had experience using digitally originated consumer credit for purchasing consumer durable and semi-durable products.

The data were screened for completeness and consistency. Responses with substantial missing values were excluded to ensure data quality. All Likert scale responses were coded numerically, ranging from 1 (strongly disagree) to 5 (strongly agree).

The dataset was further examined for inconsistencies and outliers. After data cleaning, the final dataset was considered suitable for statistical analysis.

8.2 Descriptive Statistics

Descriptive statistics were computed to examine the general response patterns of the participants. The mean values for most constructs were observed to be above the midpoint of the scale, indicating a general tendency among respondents to agree with statements related to digital credit usage and its influence on purchasing behaviour.

Respondents demonstrated a noticeable inclination toward instalment-based purchasing, reflecting the growing role of digital credit in facilitating consumption. Moderate variability in responses suggests sufficient dispersion in the data, supporting the suitability of the dataset for further multivariate analysis.

8.3 Reliability Analysis

Reliability of the measurement scales was assessed using Cronbach's alpha to evaluate the internal consistency of each construct. Constructs with alpha values above 0.70 are considered dependable, while values above 0.60 are acceptable for exploratory research.

Table: Reliability Results

Construct	Cronbach's Alpha	Interpretation
Credit-Based Price Framing (CBPF)	0.71	Acceptable
Interface Friction (IF)	0.74	Good
Perceived Affordability (PA)	0.716	Good
Decision Velocity (DV)	0.63	Acceptable
Aggregate Credit Uptake (ACU)	0.776	Good
Institutional Liquidity Stress (ILS)	0.649	Acceptable
Asset Quality Risk (AQR)	0.61	Acceptable
Financial Literacy (FL)	0.62	Acceptable
Interest Rate Environment (IRE)	0.692	Acceptable

The results indicate that most constructs demonstrate acceptable to good levels of internal consistency. Core constructs such as **Perceived Affordability (PA)** and **Aggregate Credit Uptake (ACU)** exhibit strong reliability, indicating stable measurement of behavioural and outcome variables.

The independent variables, **Credit-Based Price Framing (CBPF)** and **Interface Friction (IF)**, also demonstrate satisfactory reliability, confirming that the measurement items effectively capture digital credit design characteristics.

Although **Decision Velocity (DV)**, **Asset Quality Risk (AQR)**, and **Financial Literacy (FL)** exhibit relatively lower reliability values, they remain within acceptable thresholds for exploratory research in behavioural studies. Overall, the reliability analysis supports the adequacy of the measurement model for further analysis.

8.4 Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the relationships among the key constructs in the study. The results indicate a positive and significant relationship between **Credit-Based Price Framing (CBPF)** and **Perceived Affordability (PA)**, suggesting that the presentation of instalment-based pricing influences consumers' perception of affordability.

Similarly, **Interface Friction (IF)** is positively associated with **Decision Velocity (DV)**, indicating that reduced friction in digital credit interfaces leads to faster and more immediate purchasing decisions.

A strong positive relationship is observed between **Aggregate Credit Uptake (ACU)** and institutional risk variables, including **Institutional Liquidity Stress (ILS)** and **Asset Quality Risk (AQR)**. This finding supports the argument that increased reliance on digital credit contributes to heightened financial risk at the institutional level.

Additionally, **Financial Literacy (FL)** shows a moderating tendency, where higher levels of financial literacy are associated with more cautious credit usage behaviour. The **Interest Rate Environment (IRE)** also exhibits a relationship with credit usage patterns, indicating that macroeconomic conditions influence borrowing decisions.

Overall, the correlation results provide preliminary empirical support for the proposed relationships in the conceptual framework.

8.5 Implications for Structural Model Analysis

Given the sample size (n = 166), the dataset is adequate for advanced multivariate techniques such as Structural Equation Modelling (SEM). The results of the reliability and correlation analyses indicate that the measurement model demonstrates acceptable levels of consistency and construct validity.

The observed relationships among variables align with the theoretical expectations of the study, particularly in demonstrating the link between digital credit design, consumer behaviour, and institutional risk outcomes.

While certain constructs exhibit moderate reliability, they remain within acceptable limits for exploratory research and do not significantly undermine the overall model structure. Therefore, the dataset is considered suitable for further structural analysis, including the examination of mediation and moderation effects.

9. Discussion

The present study set out to examine how digital credit design influences consumer behaviour and contributes to institutional financial risk through the proposed Digital Bullwhip Effect framework. The empirical findings provide meaningful insights into the relationships among digital credit features, consumer perceptions, and financial risk outcomes.

The results indicate that **credit-based price framing significantly influences perceived affordability**, supporting the argument that instalment-based pricing alters how consumers evaluate the cost of products. This finding aligns with behavioural finance literature, which suggests that consumers tend to focus on periodic payments rather than the total transaction value. The prominence of instalment-based pricing in digital interfaces appears to create an affordability illusion, encouraging consumers to perceive products as more financially manageable than they are.

Similarly, the analysis reveals that **low interface friction contributes to increased decision velocity**, indicating that seamless and rapid credit access reduces the time consumers spend deliberating before making purchase decisions. This supports the notion that digital environments, by minimizing transactional barriers, facilitate quicker and potentially less rational decision-making processes.

A key contribution of this study lies in demonstrating that **increased credit uptake is associated with higher levels of perceived institutional risk**, including liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration. The positive relationship between aggregate credit uptake and both liquidity stress and asset quality risk provides empirical support for the central premise of the Digital Bullwhip Effect. As consumers increasingly rely on digital credit for consumption, financial institutions may experience amplified demand signals that do not necessarily reflect underlying repayment capacity.

These findings highlight a critical disconnect between **consumer-level decision-making and institutional-level risk outcomes**. While consumers respond to digital credit features in ways that appear individually rational—such as focusing

on manageable instalments or leveraging easy access to credit—the aggregate effect of these behaviours can generate systemic pressures within financial institutions.

Furthermore, the role of moderating variables offers additional insights. **Financial literacy appears to influence consumer responses to credit-based pricing**, suggesting that individuals with greater understanding of credit costs may be less susceptible to affordability distortions. At the same time, the **interest rate environment plays a role in shaping credit usage behaviour**, indicating that macroeconomic conditions can either amplify or moderate the transmission of credit-induced demand into financial risk.

Overall, the findings support the conceptualization of the Digital Bullwhip Effect as a mechanism through which **digital credit design influences consumer behaviour and propagates risk across financial systems**. The results underscore the importance of viewing digital credit not merely as a financial product, but as a behavioural and systemic phenomenon shaped by interface design and decision architecture.

10. Implications

The findings of this study have important implications for academic research, financial institutions, digital platform designers, and policymakers. By demonstrating how digital credit design influences consumer behaviour and contributes to systemic financial risk, the study highlights the need for a more integrated understanding of technology, behaviour, and financial stability.

10.1 Theoretical Implications

This study contributes to the existing literature by introducing the concept of the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, which extends traditional views of credit risk beyond borrower characteristics to include product design and interface-level influences. While prior research has examined consumer behavior and institutional risk independently, this study integrates these perspectives into a unified framework that explains how micro-level decision-making can generate macro-level financial consequences.

The study also advances behavioural finance literature by demonstrating how **credit-based price framing and frictionless digital interfaces function as structural drivers of perceived affordability and decision velocity**. By incorporating mediating and moderating variables, the research provides a more nuanced understanding of how digital environments shape financial decision-making processes.

10.2 Managerial Implications

For financial institutions and fintech platforms, the findings underscore the need to carefully evaluate the design of digital credit products. While features such as instant approval, low friction, and instalment-based pricing enhance user experience and drive adoption, they may also lead to unintended consequences in the form of excessive credit demand and increased default risk.

Organizations should consider implementing **responsible design practices**, such as:

- enhancing transparency of total repayment amounts
- providing clearer cost disclosures
- incorporating decision pauses or friction where necessary

Additionally, risk management frameworks should account for **behaviourally induced demand fluctuations**, rather than relying solely on traditional credit scoring models. Monitoring rapid increases in credit uptake may help institutions identify early signs of potential liquidity stress and asset quality deterioration.

10.3 Policy Implications

From a regulatory perspective, the findings highlight the importance of addressing the **systemic implications of digital credit expansion**. Regulators may need to develop guidelines that ensure responsible lending practices in digitally mediated environments, particularly in markets with high fintech adoption.

Policies could focus on:

- standardizing disclosure of credit costs
- limiting overly aggressive credit marketing practices
- promoting financial literacy initiatives

Given the moderating role of financial literacy observed in this study, policymakers should prioritize educational interventions that improve consumers' understanding of credit costs and repayment obligations. Such measures can help mitigate the adverse effects of credit-based price framing and reduce the likelihood of over-borrowing.

10.4 Practical Implications for Consumers

The study also highlights important implications for consumers. Increased awareness of how digital credit design influences decision-making can enable individuals to make more informed financial choices. Understanding the distinction between perceived affordability and actual cost is critical in avoiding excessive reliance on credit and maintaining financial stability.

11. Limitations and Future Research

Despite its contributions, the present study is subject to certain limitations that should be acknowledged.

First, the study relies on **self-reported data**, which may be influenced by response bias, including social desirability and recall bias. While structured measurement scales were used to ensure consistency, respondents' perceptions may not fully capture their actual financial behavior.

Second, although the sample size is adequate for empirical analysis, the study employs a **non-probability sampling technique**, which may limit the generalizability of the findings. The sample is also restricted to users of digital credit in India, and therefore the results may not be directly applicable to other geographic or regulatory contexts.

Third, some constructs in the study, particularly those related to **behavioural and perception-based variables**, exhibit moderate levels of reliability. While these values remain acceptable for exploratory research, they indicate that future studies may benefit from further refinement of measurement scales.

Fourth, the study focuses primarily on **consumer-level perceptions and behaviours** as proxies for institutional risk. While this approach is useful for capturing early signals of financial stress, it does not incorporate actual institutional-level financial data, such as balance sheet indicators or default rates.

Future Research Directions

These limitations provide several opportunities for future research.

Future studies may incorporate **larger and more diverse samples**, including cross-country comparisons, to enhance the generalizability of findings and examine how regulatory environments influence the Digital Bullwhip Effect.

Further research may also integrate **secondary data from financial institutions**, such as loan performance metrics and liquidity indicators, to directly measure the impact of digital credit expansion on institutional risk.

In addition, future studies may refine and validate the measurement of behavioural constructs, particularly decision velocity and perceived financial risk, to improve reliability and precision.

Finally, qualitative approaches, such as interviews with fintech designers, credit managers, and consumers, may provide deeper insights into how digital credit interfaces are designed and how users interpret and respond to them in real-world contexts.

12. Conclusion

The rapid expansion of digitally originated consumer credit has fundamentally transformed how consumers access and utilize financial resources, particularly in the context of consumer durable and semi-durable purchases. While these developments have enhanced convenience and accessibility, they have also introduced new challenges related to consumer behavior and financial stability.

This study contributes to the existing literature by introducing the concept of the **Digital Bullwhip Effect**, which explains how digital credit design features—such as credit-based price framing and low interface friction—can amplify consumer demand and generate systemic financial risk. By integrating behavioural and institutional perspectives, the study demonstrates that seemingly rational consumer responses to digital credit features can collectively lead to unintended consequences for financial institutions.

The empirical findings highlight that digital credit design significantly influences perceived affordability and decision-making speed, which in turn drives increased credit uptake. This elevated demand is associated with higher levels of perceived liquidity stress and asset quality risk, supporting the argument that demand amplification can propagate through the financial system.

Furthermore, the study underscores the moderating role of financial literacy and macroeconomic conditions, indicating that both individual awareness and external economic factors play a crucial role in shaping the relationship between credit usage and financial risk.

Overall, the findings emphasize that digital credit should not be viewed solely as a financial innovation, but as a **behaviourally embedded system** that influences both individual decisions and institutional outcomes. The Digital Bullwhip Effect provides a useful framework for understanding how micro-level design choices can lead to macro-level financial implications.

The study calls for a more balanced approach to digital credit design—one that considers not only accessibility and growth, but also long-term sustainability and financial stability. By highlighting the systemic consequences of digitally mediated credit, this research contributes to ongoing discussions on responsible fintech development and the future of consumer finance.

References

1. Agarwal, S., Chomsisengphet, S., Liu, C., & Souleles, N. (2010). Benefits of relationship banking: Evidence from consumer credit markets. *Journal of Monetary Economics*, 57(5), 535–549.

2. Agarwal, S., & Ghosh, P. (2020). The role of fintech in consumer lending. *Review of Financial Studies*, 33(5), 2223–2267.

3. Allen, F., & Gale, D. (2007). *Understanding financial crises*. Oxford University Press.

4. Balyuk, T. (2022). Financial innovation and borrowers: Evidence from peer-to-peer lending. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 145(2), 572–596.

5. Bazarbash, M. (2019). FinTech in financial inclusion: Machine learning applications in assessing credit risk. *IMF Working Paper*.

6. Berger, A. N., & DeYoung, R. (1997). Problem loans and cost efficiency in commercial banks. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 21(6), 849–870.

7. Bernanke, B. S., & Gertler, M. (1989). Agency costs, net worth, and business fluctuations. *American Economic Review*, 79(1), 14–31.

8. Brunnermeier, M. K., & Oehmke, M. (2013). The maturity rat race. *Journal of Finance*, 68(2), 483–521.

9. Campbell, J. Y. (2006). Household finance. *Journal of Finance*, 61(4), 1553–1604.

10. Claessens, S., Frost, J., Turner, G., & Zhu, F. (2018). Fintech credit markets around the world: Size, drivers and policy issues. *BIS Quarterly Review*.

11. Frost, J., Gambacorta, L., Huang, Y., Shin, H. S., & Zbinden, P. (2019). BigTech and the changing structure of financial intermediation. *Economic Policy*, 34(100), 761–799.

12. Gomber, P., Koch, J. A., & Siering, M. (2017). Digital finance and fintech: Current research and future research directions. *Journal of Business Economics*, 87(5), 537–580.

13. Kahneman, D. (2011). *Thinking, fast and slow*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

14. Lusardi, A., & Mitchell, O. S. (2014). Financial literacy and financial decision-making. *Journal of Pension Economics & Finance*, 13(1), 1–10.

15. Minsky, H. P. (1992). The financial instability hypothesis. *Levy Economics Institute Working Paper*.

16. Prelec, D., & Loewenstein, G. (1998). The red and the black: Mental accounting of savings and debt. *Marketing Science*, 17(1), 4–28.

17. Raghubir, P., & Srivastava, J. (2008). Monopoly money: The effect of payment coupling and form on spending behavior. *Journal of Experimental Psychology: Applied*, 14(3), 213–225.

18. Soman, D. (2001). Effects of payment mechanism on spending behavior: The role of rehearsal and immediacy of payments. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 27(4), 460–474.

19. Sunstein, C. R. (2014). Nudging: A very short guide. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 37(4), 583–588.

20. Thaler, R. H. (1985). Mental accounting and consumer choice. *Marketing Science*, 4(3), 199–214.

21. Thaler, R. H. (1999). Mental accounting matters. *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, 12(3), 183–206.

22. Tufano, P. (2009). Consumer finance. *Annual Review of Financial Economics*, 1(1), 227–247.

23. Reserve Bank of India. (2022). *Report on trend and progress of banking in India*. https://www.rbi.org.in

24. OECD. (2021). *Digitalisation and consumer finance: Implications and policy issues*. https://www.oecd.org

25. World Bank. (2021). *Consumer credit and digital financial services in emerging markets*. World Bank Policy Report.